Filing #	67366055 E-Filed 02/01/2018 11:18:38 AM
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11 th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
3	IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
4	,
5	COMPLEX BUSINESS DIVISION
6	
7	INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION SUBSECTION
8	
9	CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47
10	
11	JEFFREY D. RUBINSTEIN,
12	JOE SHARPE, and
13	GUILLERMO BAKULA, and WALTER
14	GAMES, LLC, a Delaware Limited
15	Liability Company qualified to do, and
16	doing business in the State of Florida,
17	
18	Plaintiffs,
19	
20	V.
21	
22	MGBR, LLC, The INTERNATIONAL
23	COURT OF ARBITRATION OF THE
24	INTERNATIONAL CHAMBER OF
25	COMMERCE,
26	
27	Defendants,
28	d
29 30	and
31	THE MOHEGAN TRIBE OF INDIANS
32	OF CONNECTICUT, a federally
33	recognized Indian Tribe, (hereinafter "The
34	Tribe"), and all the individual members of
35	the Tribe, individually, known as The
36	Mohegan Nation, and THE MOHEGAN
37	TRIBAL GAMING AUTHORITY, d/b/a
38	Mohegan Gaming & Entertainment, (the
39	"AUTHORITY"), an instrumentality of the
40	Mohegan Tribe Indians of Connecticut,
	and its nine-member Management Board
	("Management Board"), whose members

1	
2	
3	
4	also comprise the Tribal Council ("Tribal
5	Council"), KEVIN P. BROWN,
6	Individually and as a member of the Tribe,
7	and as Chairman of the Tribal Council and
8	of the Management Board of the
9	AUTHORITY, JAMES GESSNER JR.,
10	Individually and as a member of the Tribe,
11	and as Vice Chairman of the Tribal
12	Council and of the Management Board of
13	the AUTHORITY, KATHY
14	REGAN-PYNE, Individually and as a
15	member of the Tribe, and as
16	Corresponding Secretary of the Tribal
17	Council and of the Management Board of
18	the AUTHORITY, WILLIAM
19	QUIDGEON JR., Individually and as a
20	member of the Tribe and as a member of
21	the Tribal Council and of the Management
22	Board of the AUTHORITY, SARAH E.
23	HARRIS, Individually, and as a member
24	of the Tribe and as a member of the Tribal
25	Council and of the Management Board of
26	the AUTHORITY, MARK BROWN,
27	Individually and as a member of the Tribe
28	and as a member of the Tribal Council and
29	of the Management Board of the
30	AUTHORITY, THAYNE HUTCHINS
31	JR., Individually and as a member of the
32	Tribe and as a member of the Tribal
33	Council and of the Management Board of
34	the AUTHORITY, CHERYL TODD,
35	Individually and as a member of the Tribe
36	and as a member of the Tribal Council and
37	of the Management Board of the
38	AUTHORITY, JOE SMITH, Individually
39	and as a member of the Tribe and as a
40	member of the Tribal Council and of the

Management Board of the AUTHORITY,

and each of the foregoing specified

CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47

1	CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47
2	
3 4 5	AUTHORITY, d/b/a Mohegan Gaming & Entertainment, (the "AUTHORITY"), an
6 7	instrumentality of the Mohegan Tribe Indians of Connecticut, and its nine-member
8 9	Management Board ("Management Board"), whose members also comprise the Tribal
10 11	Council ("Tribal Council"), KEVIN P. BROWN, Individually and as a member of the
12 13	Tribe, and as Chairman of the Tribal Council and of the Management Board of the
14 15	AUTHORITY, JAMES GESSNER JR., Individually and as a member of the Tribe,
16 17	and as Vice Chairman of the Tribal Council and of the Management Board of the
18 19	AUTHORITY, KATHY REGAN-PYNE, Individually and as a member of the Tribe,
20 21	and as Corresponding Secretary of the Tribal Council and of the Management Board
22 23	of the AUTHORITY, WILLIAM QUIDGEON JR., Individually and as a member of
24 25	the Tribe and as a member of the Tribal Council and of the Management Board of the
26 27	AUTHORITY, SARAH E. HARRIS, Individually, and as a member of the Tribe and
28 29	as a member of the Tribal Council and of the Management Board of the
30 31	AUTHORITY, MARK BROWN, Individually and as a member of the Tribe and as
32 33	a member of the Tribal Council and of the Management Board of the AUTHORITY,
34 35	THAYNE HUTCHINS JR., Individually and as a member of the Tribe and as a
36 37	member of the Tribal Council and of the Management Board of the AUTHORITY,
38 39	CHERYL TODD, Individually and as a member of the Tribe and as a member of the
40	Tribal Council and of the Management Board of the AUTHORITY, JOE SMITH,
	Individually and as a member of the Tribe and as a member of the Tribal Council and

5 6

8 9

10 11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

> 26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35 36

37 38

39 40

6. Plaintiff, Walter Games, L. L. C., is a Delaware Limited Liability Company, qualified to do, and doing business in the State of Florida.

Defendant, MGBR, LLC, ("LLC"), is a subsidiary of the Defendant, 7. Mohegan Tribal Gaming Authority, (the "Authority"), which is an instrumentality of the Defendant, Mohegan Tribe of Indians in Connecticut, (the "Tribe"). The LLC, the Authority, and the Tribe are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Mohegans". The Mohegans have waived sovereign immunity, and the class action Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in Florida pursuant to, among others, I) section 48.193(1)(a)(7), Florida Statutes, because they breached the Definitive Written Agreements, described in more detail infra at paragraph 17, by failing to perform acts required by those contracts to be performed in Florida, and ii) section 684.0049, Florida Statutes, because they entered into the Definitive Written Agreements, copies of which are attached to the original Complaint filed in the above styled cause, providing for, inter alia, waiver of the Mohegan Tribes' sovereign immunity', and providing for arbitration in Florida, and thus consented to in personam jurisdiction in Florida.

8. The Authority is an instrumentality of the Mohegan Tribe of Indians of Connecticut, a federally-recognized Indian tribe with an approximately 595-acre

¹This express waiver of sovereign immunity is located in the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement in Section 13.4, and is found within Section 6.5 of the Subscription Agreement as well.

reservation situated in southeastern Connecticut, adjacent to Uncasville, Connecticut. The Authority has been granted the exclusive authority to conduct and regulate gaming activities on the existing reservation of the Tribe, including the operation of Mohegan Sun, a gaming and entertainment complex located on an approximately

Pennsylvania.

9.

RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P. A. 7875 S. W. 104TH STREET • SUITE 100 • PREMIER PLAZA • MIAMI FLORIDA 33156-2642 TELEPHONE: 305.374.5500 • FACSIMILE: 305.371.8100

185-acre site on the Tribe's reservation. Through its subsidiary, Downs Racing, L.P.,

the Authority also owns and operates Mohegan Sun Pocono, a gaming and

entertainment facility located on an approximately 400-acre site in Plains Township,

Pennsylvania, and several off-track wagering facilities located elsewhere in

two legally authorized gaming operations in southern New England offering

traditional slot machines and table games. Mohegan Sun currently operates in an

approximately 3.1 million square-foot facility, which includes Casino of the Earth,

Casino of the Sky, Casino of the Wind, 100,000 square feet of retail space, including

The Shops at Mohegan Sun, a 10,000-seat Mohegan Sun Arena, a 350-seat Cabaret

Theatre, 100,000 square feet of meeting and convention space, the 1,200-room luxury

Sky Hotel Tower and the 400-room Earth Hotel Tower. Mohegan Sun Pocono

operates in an approximately 400,000 square-foot facility, offering traditional slot

machines and table games, live harness racing and simulcast and off-track wagering,

a 238-room hotel, 20,000 square feet of meeting and convention space, several dining

The Tribe's gaming operation at Mohegan Sun is currently one of only

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

and retail options and a bus passenger lounge. More information about the Authority and its properties can be obtained by visiting www.mohegansun.com, www.mohegansunpocono.com or www.mtga.com. Federal law, administered by The United States Department of the Interior, Bureau Indian Affairs, and Connecticut law permits the operation of the large Indian casinos run by, inter alia, the Mohegan tribe in Connecticut. These tribal casinos in Connecticut are hugely popular. So much so, in fact, that neighboring Massachusetts recently legalized the opening of three casinos (commercial and/or tribal depending on who wins the bids for the licenses). In addition, the Authority has issued publically traded bonds which are registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

22 23 24

> 25 26

27 28

29

10. The Mohegan Tribe of Indians of Connecticut is permitted to operate casinos in the State of Connecticut pursuant to a Tribal-State Compact, regulated by the Gaming Division of the State of Connecticut, Division of Consumer Protection, and The United States Department of the Interior, Bureau Indian Affairs.

30 31 32

33 34

35 36

37

11. The Mohegan Tribe of Indians of Connecticut is permitted to operate casinos in the State of Pennsylvania pursuant to a Tribal-State Compact, regulated by The Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, and The United States Department of the Interior, Bureau Indian Affairs.

38 39 40

12. Defendant, International Court of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce ("ICC") is an institution that provides a forum for the

30

31 32

33 34

35 36

37 38

39 40

1

2 3 4

5 6

8

9 10

11

12 13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

> and a casino in Brazil, through a Joint Venture with a 51% Brazilian partner, Detotto & Ingegneri Holding Ltd., d/b/a Mohegan Sun Brasil, ("JV"), which, JV, through its planned Título de Capitalização lottery alone, was projected to make a profit of Fiftythree Million (\$53,000,000.00) and xx/100 Dollars in the first three years of operation,

18. To memorialize the BUY IN, on or about June 24, 2016, Mohegan and Walter Games entered into several agreements (the "Definitive Written Agreements"):

Written Agreements, described below in Paragraph 18, in their individual capacity.

35 36

37 38

39 40

31

21 22

23

24 25

26 27 28

29 30

> individuals, were the members of Walter Games, and only the Companies were ever parties to the Definitive Written Agreements. Thus, Bill Bakula signed only as Director on behalf of Bart Entertainment, Ltd., and not individually; Jeffrey Rubinstein signed only as President on behalf of Jeffrey Rubinstein Holdings, Inc.,

24. On or about July 5, 2017, Mohegans commenced an arbitration

cause, as **Exhibit D**, at p. 23, which Exhibit is incorporated herein by reference.

² Section 12.4 provides: "If the *parties* cannot resolve any Dispute for any reason ... such Disputes shall be finally settled under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce by three (3) arbitrators appointed in accordance with said Rules" (emphasis added).

³ Section 5.4 provides: "If the *parties* cannot resolve a Dispute for any reason ... such Dispute shall be finally settled under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce by three (3) arbitrators appointed in accordance with said Rules" (emphasis added).

proceeding before the ICC against not only Walter Games and the Companies as its corporate members, but also purportedly against the Plaintiffs in their individual capacities, where it was assigned case No. 22934/MK (hereinafter, the "Arbitration"). A true and correct copy of the Request for Arbitration is attached to the original Complaint filed in the above styled cause, as Exhibit D, and is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

- 25. Based on well-established legal principles under Florida law⁴, the individual Plaintiffs, *supra*, cannot be compelled to arbitrate before the ICC because they did not sign the Agreements containing the arbitration clauses in their individual capacities.
- 26. Plaintiffs have retained undersigned counsel to represent them in this action and have agreed to pay them a reasonable fee for their services.
- 27. All conditions precedent to filing the causes of action alleged in this Amended Complaint have occurred, or have been performed, or have been waived or

⁴ See, e.g., Wasserman v. Triad Sec. Corp., No. 8:05-CV-1898-T-24, 2006 WL 1644029, at *2 (M.D. Fla. June 12, 2006) ("I] is settled beyond peradventure that a person signing a contract only in a corporate capacity, and unambiguously indicating that fact on the face of the contract documents, does not thereby become a party to the agreement' the Court finds that it is clear that Triad knew that it was dealing with the Phillip Roy Fund as an entity and not with Phillip Wasserman as an individual. Accordingly, the Court finds that Wasserman, in his individual capacity, never entered into an agreement to arbitrate the dispute that is the subject matter of the arbitration proceeding initiated by Triad and cannot, therefore, be compelled to participate in the arbitration in his individual capacity.") (citation omitted)); Rolls-Royce PLC v. Royal Caribbean Craises LTD., 960 So. 2d 768, 770 (Fla. 3d 2007) ("Because arbitration is a matter of contract, 'a party cannot be required to submit to arbitration any dispute which he has not agreed so to submit.") (citation omitted)); Johnson v. Pines, 968 So. 2d 700, 702 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007) ("[A] person who signs a contract only in a corporate capacity is not bound as an agent. . . . It is thus apparent that, although Johnson, a non-party to the agreement, could have enforced the arbitration provision against the parties who agreed to arbitrate these claims, the parties who agreed to arbitrate cannot force arbitration on Johnson, since he did not agree to arbitrate. We accordingly reverse.").

1	CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47
2 3	
3	
4	excused.
5	
6 7	CLASS REPRESENTATION ALLEGATIONS
8	28. The class of Class Action Defendants which exists under Rule 1.220 (b),
9	26. The class of class Action Detendants which exists under Rule 1.220 (b),
10	Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, as revised, who together with the Defendants are
11	
12	jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs consists of all past, present, and future
13	
14	individual members of The Mohegan Tribe of Indians of Connecticut, a federally
15	and the discontinual to discontinuity of the property of the p
16 17	recognized Indian Tribe, ("The Tribe"), (which waived sovereign immunity in the
18	Definitive Written Agreements), believed to be in excess of Four Hundred (400)
19	The state of the s
20	members, who are jointly and severally vicariously liable as principals for the acts and
21	
22	omissions of their agents, DANIEL INGEGNERI, BEN O'NEIL, QUINN EMANUEL
23	
24	URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP, ROBERT RUBENSTEIN, and MARIO C.
25 26	KONTOMERKOS, to wit: MGBR, LLC, THE MOHEGAN TRIBAL GAMING
27	KONTOMERKOS, to wit. MODR, ELC, THE MONEGAN TRIBAL GAMING
28	AUTHORITY, d/b/a Mohegan Gaming & Entertainment, an instrumentality of the
29	or the
30	Mohegan Tribe Indians of Connecticut, (the "Authority"), and its nine-member
31	
32	Management Board ("Management Board"), whose members also comprise the Tribal
33	
34	Council ("Tribal Council") for Declaratory Relief, Damages for Deceptive and Unfair
35 36	Trade Practices, Vicarious Liability, and Injunctive Relief Staying Arbitration,
27	rade radioos, vicarous radinty, and injunetive itener staying Arbitration,

Revoking All Gaming Licenses in Connecticut and Pennsylvania, and elsewhere, and

40 Prohibiting Gaming in Brazil, and Other Relief, and allege:

38

39

29. a) The claims against the Class Action Defendants as the representatives

1	CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47
2 3	
3 4 5	of all the members of a class, should be permitted to be maintained as a class action
6	because: (1) the members of the class are so numerous that separate joinder of each
8	member is impracticable, (2) the claim or defense of the representative party raises
10 11	questions of law or fact common to the questions of law or fact raised by the claim or
12 13	defense of each member of the class, (3) the claim or defense of the representative
14 15	party is typical of the claim or defense of each member of the class, and (4) the
16 17	representative parties can fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of
18 19	each member of the class.
20 21	b) The claims against the Class Action Defendants, and their defenses
22 23	should be permitted to be maintained on behalf of the class because the prerequisites
24 25	of subdivision (a) are satisfied, and that:
26 27	(1) the prosecution of separate claims against or defenses by individual
28 29	members of the class would create a risk of either:
30 31	(A) inconsistent or varying adjudications concerning
32 33	individual members of the class which would establish incompatible standards of
34 35	conduct for the party opposing the class; or
36 37	(B) adjudications concerning individual members of the class
38 39	which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members
40	of the class who are not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede
	the ability of other members of the class who are not parties to the adjudications to

protect their interests; or

RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P. A. 7875 S. W. 104TH STREET • SUITE 100 • PREMIER PLAZA • MIAMI FLORIDA 33156-2642

TELEPHONE: 305.374.5500 • FACSIMILE: 305.371.8100

(2) the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds

(3) In the unlikely event the Court concludes that the claims or defenses

generally applicable to all the members of the class, thereby making final injunctive

- are not maintainable under either subdivision (b)(1) or (b)(2), supra, but the questions of law or fact common to the claim or defense of the representative party and the claim or defense of each member of the class predominate over any question of law or fact affecting only individual members of the class, and class representation is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, then the Court should conclude that class certification is appropriate from consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances, including (A) the respective interests of each member of the class in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims or defenses, (B) the nature and extent of any pending litigation to which any member of the class is a party and in which any question of law or fact controverted in the subject action is to be adjudicated, © the desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation in the forum where the subject action is instituted, and (D) the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of the claim or defense on behalf of a class.
 - 29. The Mohegans, through the Authority, it's Management Board and the

SULLIVAN LLP, ROBERT RUBENSTEIN, and MARIO C. KONTOMERKOS, their agents, especially since the Mohegans waived sovereign immunity in the

31 32

33

34 35 36

37 38

39

40

17 18

1

2 3 4

5

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15 16

32.

33.

22 23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32 33 34

35 36

37 38

39

40

affecting only individual members of the class.

adequately represent the class.

represented by experienced and qualified attorneys, and who will fairly and

This is an action for declaratory relief brought pursuant to Article V, § 20 (8) (3), of the Florida Constitution - 1968 Revision, and § 86.011, Florida Statutes,

RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P. A. 7875 S. W. 10418 STREET • SUITE 100 • PREMIER PLAZA • MIAMI FLORIDA 33156-2642

Declaring that the individual Plaintiffs are not proper parties to the

1 2			CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47
3			
4 5			Arbitration because they did not sign the Agreements in their
6			individual capacities;
7 8		ii.	Enjoining Mohegan and the ICC from proceeding with the
9 10			Arbitration against the Plaintiffs;
11			Thomasion against the Flaminis,
12		iii.	Awarding Plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees;
13 14		iv.	Awarding Plaintiffs their costs of suit; and
15 16		v.	Awarding such further relief as this Court may deem just and
17			The standard ferror as this court may deem just and
18 19			proper.
20	COUNT	r mr	DAMACEC AND INITERIORISTE DEL HOR DUDON, ANTERES
21			DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PURSUANT TO
22	THOR	III/A D	DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT
23 24	59.	Parag	graphs 1 through 43 above hereby are re-alleged and incorporated
25	by reference	e as thr	rough fully set forth herein.
26			
27 28	60.	This i	s an action for damages in excess of Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand
29 30	(\$750,000.0	00) and	xx/100 Dollars, excluding interest, costs and attorneys fees, plus
31 32	injunctive r	elief, a	nd costs and attorney's fees pursuant to Florida's Deceptive and
33	Unfair Trad	e Pract	ices Act for the above described acts perpetrated by Defendants, the
34 35 36	Mohegans,	by and	through their authorized agents, DANIEL INGEGNERI, BEN
37	O'NEIL, Q	UINN	EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP, ROBERT
38 39	RUBENSTE	EIN, an	d MARIO C. KONTOMERKOS, who were acting within the scope
40	of their auth	ority, a	Ill in violation of Florida Statutes, Chapter 501, Part II.

61. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action individually and against the RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P. A.

Plaintiffs were exposed to these misrepresentations and omissions, were and continue

1	CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-4
2	
3 4	Dannaydymnia and alasymbaya in the United States, and
5	Pennsylvania, and elsewhere in the United States; and
6 7	vi. Awarding such further relief as this Court may deem just and
8	proper.
10	COUNT IV
11 12	TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE
13 14	67. Paragraphs 1 through 43 above hereby are re-alleged and incorporated
15	by reference as through fully set forth herein.
16 17 18	68. The Plaintiffs, JEFFREY D. RUBINSTEIN, JOE SHARPE, and
19 20	GUILLERMO BAKULA, and WALTER GAMES, LLC, a Delaware Limited
21 22	Liability Company qualified to do, and doing business in the State of Florida, sue the
23 24	Class Action Defendants for damages resulting from their tortious interference with
25 26	their advantageous business relationships.
27 28	69. The Plaintiffs had an existing business relationship with "Detotto &
29 30	Ingegneri Holding, LTDA"; the Defendants' had knowledge of the relationship; by
31 32	their above described acts the defendant's intentionally and unjustifiedly interfered
33 34	with that relationship; and Plaintiffs suffered damages as a result of the breach of the
35 36	relationship.
37 38	70. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action individually and against the
39 40	individual Defendants as well as the Class Defendants on behalf of the Class.
	71. The elements of a cause of action based on tortious interference with a
	business relationship are (1) the existence of a business relationship, (2) the

RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P. A.
7875 S. W. 104th Street • Suite 100 • Premier Plaza • Miami Florida 33156-2642
Telephone: 305.374.5500 • Facsimile: 305.371.8100

l and result un JV dants g the eneri,
n JV dants
n JV dants
nn JV dants g the
dants
dants
g the
eneri
w.i,
using
dreds
ence.
s:
n the
t and

1	CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47
2	
4	COUNT V
5	BREACH OF CONTRACT
6 7	74. Paragraphs 1 through 43 above hereby are re-alleged and incorporated
8 9	by reference as through fully set forth herein.
10 11 12	75. The Plaintiffs, JEFFREY D. RUBINSTEIN, JOE SHARPE, and
13	GUILLERMO BAKULA, and WALTER GAMES, LLC, a Delaware Limited
15 16	Liability Company qualified to do, and doing business in the State of Florida, sue the
17 18	Class Action Defendants for damages resulting from their breach of contract, to wit,
19 20	the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement, Exhibit A.
21 22	76. The elements for a breach of contract are (1) a valid written agreement,
23 24	(2) a material breach, and (3) damages. See Friedman v. New York Lie Ins. Co., 985
25 26	So.2d 56, 58 (Fla. 4DCA 2008).
27 28	77. The Amended and Restated Operating Agreement is a valid written
29 30	agreement entered into between Plaintiff Walter Games, LLC and the Defendant
31 32	MGBR, LLC.
33 34	78. The Defendants did materially breach the covenant not to compete
35 36 37	contained in Section 13.6 of the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement, by
38 39	pursuing investment opportunities in Brazil which would have been in competition with Walter games, LLC, in direct violation of Section 13.6 of the Amended and
40	Restated Operating Agreement, and withheld funding of the second tranche of the
	BUY IN as they pursued those interest competitive with those of Walter Games, LLC.
	RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P. A.

1		CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47
2		
3	70	The District Community of the control of the contro
4 5	79.	The Plaintiffs as a result of the material breach have suffered damages
6		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
7		KRAKEN FOR RESIDEN
8	WH	EREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court enter Judgement as follows:
9		
10	1.	Entry of an Order finding the Defendants in breach of Section 13.6 of the
11		
12	Amended a	and Restated Operating Agreement;
13	2	A second in a District CC of the information of the second
14 15	2.	Awarding Plaintiffs their damages and attorneys fees;
16	3.	Awarding Plaintiffs their costs of suit; and
17	υ,	That diff I will be on the order of the orde
18	4.	Awarding such further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
19		
20		DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY
21	m m ' '	
22		ffs hereby demand trial by jury as to all issues so triable as a matter of
23 24	right.	Respectfully Submitted,
25		Respectating Submitted,
26		RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
27		Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs
28		7875 SW 104 th Street, Suite 100
29		Miami Florida 33156
30		Telephone: 305:374:5500
31		Facsimile 305.371.8 00
32		E-Ma(l: <u>Jeffrey@HubinsteinAssociates.com</u>
33		
34 35		- CANAN LIKE -
36		By: Leffing Bubinstein Forming
37		Jeffrey Rubinstein, Esquire ` Fla. Bar No. 183761
38		ria, par ivo, 105/01

1	CASE NO.: 2017-024522-CA-47
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
5	19
6	I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was
7	furnished by Florida E-Portal filing this / day of January, 2018:
8	February
9	Allan A. Joseph, Esquire
10	Fuerst Ittleman David & Joseph, PL
11	Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs
1.2	1001 Brickell Bay Drive, Suite 3112
13	Miami, Florida 33131
14	Tel. (305) 350-5690
15	E-mail: ajoseph@fuerstlaw.com
16	
17	Kristin S. Starr, Esq.
18	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP, Counsel for MGBR, LLC
19	777 6th Street, N.W., 11th Floor
20	Washington DC 20001
21	Tel (202) 538-8000
22	Kristin Starr (kristinstarr@quinnemanuel.com)
23	
24	Jeffrey B. Crockett, Esquire Attorney for International Court of Arbitration ® International Chamber of Commerce
25	COFFEY BURLINGTON
26	2601 South Bayshore Drive, Penthouse
27	Miami, Florida 33133
28	T. 305-858-2900; F. 305-858-5261
29	jcrockett@coffeyburlington.com
30	
31	RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
32	Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs
33	7875 SW 104 th Street, Suite 100
34	Miami Florida 33156
35	Telephone: 305.374.5500
36	E-Mail: Jeffrey Kubinstein Associates.com
37	
88	By:
39 10	Jeffrey Rubinstein, Esquire
U	Fla. Bar No. 183761
	YADA FAYShared Casas Bak ulst Walter Games LLCM phicons Lible & Defausation Suit Ameuded Complaint Ver 14 Jan 312018 wind

RUBINSTEIN & ASSOCIATES, P. A.